Exhibit 2

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1556-14 Filed 09/13/17 Page 2 of 6

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David Perlson (Cal. Bar No. 209502) davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com Melissa J. Baily (Cal. Bar No. 237649) melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com John Neukom (Cal. Bar No. 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com Jordan R. Jaffe (Cal. Bar No. 254886) jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4788 (415) 875-6600 (415) 875-6700 facsimile	N, LLP									
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff WAYMO LLC										
0	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT										
1	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA										
2	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION										
3	WAYMO LLC	Case No. 17-cv-00939-JCS PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO OTTO TRUCKING, LLC'S									
14 15 16	Plaintiffs, v.										
17	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; OTTOMOTTO, LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,	INTERROGATORY NOS. 1-9									
18	Defendants.										
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											
26											
27											
28											

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1556-14 Filed 09/13/17 Page 3 of 6

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	113	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						
2	114	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						
	115	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						
3	116 See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.							
4	117	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						
	118	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						
5	119 See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.							
6	120	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						
	121	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						

Discovery is ongoing and Waymo reserves the right to supplement this response after further discovery and investigation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Separately for each alleged Waymo trade secret identified Waymo's 2019 Disclosure, describe all instances in which the trade secret (or any Waymo LiDAR device utilizing the trade secret) was publicly or otherwise disclosed to third parties, and identify all Documents (by Bates number) concerning such disclosure.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Waymo incorporates by reference its General Objections. Waymo further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive, including to the extent that it asks Waymo to respond separately for each alleged Waymo trade secret. Waymo further objects to this request to the extent it is compound, complex, and contains multiple subparts. Waymo further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information subject to a non-disclosure agreement or claim of third-party confidentiality.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Waymo responds as follows: Waymo hereby incorporates by reference its Response to Uber's Interrogatory No. 8. Waymo further responds:

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1556-14 Filed 09/13/17 Page 4 of 6

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

- 11								
1	113	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						
2	114	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						
	115	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						
3	116	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						
4	117	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						
	118	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						
5	119	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						
	120	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						
6	121	See supra, Trade Secret No. 9.						

7 8

Discovery is ongoing and Waymo reserves the right to supplement this response after further discovery and investigation.

10

11

12

13

14

15

9

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Separately for each alleged Waymo trade secret identified Waymo's 2019 Disclosure, describe all instances in which the trade secret (or any Waymo LiDAR device utilizing the trade secret) was publicly or otherwise disclosed to third parties, and identify all Documents (by Bates number) concerning such disclosure.

Waymo incorporates by reference its General Objections. Waymo further objects to this

interrogatory on the grounds that it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive, including to

the extent that it asks Waymo to respond separately for each alleged Waymo trade secret. Waymo

further objects to this request to the extent it is compound, complex, and contains multiple

subparts. Waymo further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information subject to

16

17

18

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

a non-disclosure agreement or claim of third-party confidentiality.

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

27

26

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Waymo responds as follows: Waymo hereby incorporates by reference its Response to Uber's Interrogatory No. 8. Waymo further responds:

28

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1556-14 Filed 09/13/17 Page 5 of 6

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

	Waymo	is not	aware of	any	instan	ces in	which	Waymo	's	asserted	trade	secrets	or	any
Waym	o LiDAl	R device	e utilizing	g the	trade	secrets	was	publicly	or	otherwis	se dis	closed 1	to t	hird
parties	•													

Discovery is ongoing and Waymo reserves the right to supplement this response after further discovery and investigation.

FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Waymo objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive, including to the extent that it asks Waymo to respond separately for each alleged Waymo trade secret. Waymo further objects to this request to the extent it is compound, complex, and contains multiple subparts. Waymo further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information subject to a non-disclosure agreement or claim of third-party confidentiality.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Waymo responds as follows:

Waymo is not aware of any instances in which Waymo's asserted trade secrets or any Waymo LiDAR device utilizing the trade secrets was publicly or otherwise disclosed to third parties.

Discovery is ongoing and Waymo reserves the right to supplement this response after further discovery and investigation.

SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Waymo incorporates by reference its prior Responses to Interrogatory No. 6, including objections.

Waymo further responds as follows:

Waymo incorporates the Opening Expert Report of Lambertus Hesselink, Ph.D. and its Second Supplemental Response to Uber's Interrogatory No. 3 as if set forth in full herein.

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1556-14 Filed 09/13/17 Page 6 of 6

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	DATED: August 24, 2017	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP	
2			
3		By /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven Charles K. Verhoeven	_
4		Attorneys for WAYMO LLC	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		147 No. 3:17-cv-00939-WH.	A